



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,465	07/11/2001	Kirk Steven Tecu	10016935-1	9335
7590 01/26/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2622	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/903,465	TECU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Houshang Safaipour	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133),				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	— s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-12 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,13,14,17-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	D⊠ accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 13-19, drawn to template matching, classified in class 382, subclass 209.
- II. Claims 8-12, drawn to reflective and transparent scanning, classified in class 358, subclass 474.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as scanning.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with James Baudino (Reg. # 43,486) on 1/24/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-7 and 13-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 2622

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13, 14, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang (Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0039205).

Regarding claim 1, Chang discloses a template for a scanner system, the template comprising: a template body, and an element for generating an optical pattern when scanned in the scanner system (fig. 1, page 2 [0026].

Regarding claim 2, Chang discloses the template according to claim 1, wherein the template further comprises an insert area for receiving a transparent media therein (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 3, Chang discloses the template according to claim 1, wherein the element is a pattern printed on the template body (Mark 122, page 2 [0026]).

Regarding claim 5, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 3 are applicable to claim 5.

Regarding claim 6, Chang discloses the template according to claim 1, wherein the optical pattern is comparable to one or more reference patterns stored in a computer (page 2, [0026].

Regarding claim 7, Chang discloses the template according to claim 6, wherein the computer directs the scanner system to execute a scan routine upon determining a match between the optical pattern and one of the reference patterns (page 2, [0026]).

Regarding claim 13, Chang discloses a scanner system for optically scanning a media, the scanner system comprising: a reflective scanner comprising a platen, a lamp, an optic system and one or more photosensitive devices; a transparent media adapter comprising a housing and operable to backlight a transparent media; and a template comprising an element for generating an optical pattern when scanned in the scanner system (figs. 1 & 2, page 2, [0024])..

Regarding claim14, Chang discloses the scanner system according to claim 13, wherein the scanner system is coupled to a computer, the computer storing one or more reference patterns each associated with a scan routine, the scanner system performing a reflective scan over a predefined distance of carriage translation and transmitting imaged data obtained by the reflective scan to the computer, the computer operable to compare the imaged data with the reference patterns (page 2, [0026]).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 3 and 5 are applicable to claims 17 and 18 respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0039205).

Chang does not disclose expressly a tab connected to an edge of the template body.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the tab to the edge of the template. Applicant has not disclosed that the tab provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Chang's apparatus to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4 and 19.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (703)306-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles, Sr. can be reached on (703)305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,465 Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner Art Unit 2622 January 24, 2005 Regarding claim 6, Chang discloses the template according to claim 1, wherein the optical pattern is comparable to one or more reference patterns stored in a computer (page 2, [0026].

Regarding claim 7, Chang discloses the template according to claim 6, wherein the computer directs the scanner system to execute a scan routine upon determining a match between the optical pattern and one of the reference patterns (page 2, [0026]).

Regarding claim 13, Chang discloses a scanner system for optically scanning a media, the scanner system comprising: a reflective scanner comprising a platen, a lamp, an optic system and one or more photosensitive devices; a transparent media adapter comprising a housing and operable to backlight a transparent media; and a template comprising an element for generating an optical pattern when scanned in the scanner system (figs. 1 & 2, page 2, [0024])..

Regarding claim14, Chang discloses the scanner system according to claim 13, wherein the scanner system is coupled to a computer, the computer storing one or more reference patterns each associated with a scan routine, the scanner system performing a reflective scan over a predefined distance of carriage translation and transmitting imaged data obtained by the reflective scan to the computer, the computer operable to compare the imaged data with the reference patterns (page 2, [0026]).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 3 and 5 are applicable to claims 17 and 18 respectively.

Regarding claims 4 and 19 Chang does not explicitly provide a tab connected to an edge of the template body, however, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify Chang's teaching to connect a tab to Chang's apparatus for generating an optical pattern.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,465 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (703)306-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles, Sr. can be reached on (703)305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner January 24, 2005

Joseph R. Pokrzywa Examiner